UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,500	11/21/2001	John L. Wasula	79564APRC	3356
Thomas H. Clo	7590 03/25/201 se	EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			DANIELS, ANTHONY J	
343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/990,500	WASULA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANTHONY J. DANIELS	2622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of</li></ul>	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters,	·		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 21-25,27,28,31 and 32 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 21-25,27,28,31 and 32 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	d.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/990,500 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Response to Amendment**

1. The amendment, filed 11/11/2011, has been entered and made of record. Claims 21-25,27,28,31 and 32 are pending in the application.

2. Applicant's amendment to claim 27 has overcome the examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101.

## **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments regarding claims 21 and 22 and the Safai reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues with respect to the Safai reference, "... the rejection first uses the term "e-mail address" as analogous to the claimed "image utilization fields." In this regard, it states "the computer must access the email address to send the images to the correct email address." (Claim 22 further illustrates the point that the e-mail address is being equated to the claimed "image utilization files.") Then, later in the rejection, it states that "if a voice message is checked, the images are modified in that a voice message will be attached with them. "However, this reading required by the rejection completely misses a limitation which is not taught by the cited prior art. More precisely, the claimed invention "modifies each transferred image file in accordance with the set of image utilization fields." However, since the rejection equates the "e-mail address" to the claimed "image utilization fields," the rejection must then show the images are modified "in accordance with the e-mail address." However, this is not the disclosure of the

Application/Control Number: 09/990,500

Art Unit: 2622

cited prior art as the rejection states the modification ("voice mail attached") but completely overlooks the fact that this modification MUST be done in accordance with the "image utilization files" or the purported "e-mail address" in Safai." The examiner submits that in the same manner the voice message modifies the images, the email address modifies the image file. More specifically, the email address modifies the image file in that it is attached to the transferred images. Also, the email address dictates where, in the external device, the images will be saved. Contrary to Applicant's argument that it is not the disclosure of the prior art that the images are modified in accordance with the email address, Safai states, in Col. 13, Lines 50-53, "The transport application sends the selected photos to the server 601, along with addresses entered by the user and any associated voice message, in digital form..." The examiner further submits that interpreting the email address as an image utilization field is reasonable when considered in light of the specification. Specifically, Figure 3A of the present application shows a plurality of image utilization fields, one of which includes a destination field indicating where the images will be stored. It is unclear how Applicant can disqualify Safai's email address as an image utilization field while the present specification explicitly describes a substantially similar one. Lastly, the examiner would like to note a particular statement in the BPAI Decision of Appeal for this case submitted on 3/29/2010, "We find no error in the Examiner's conclusion (Arts. 14) that images transferred to the external device in Safai '469 would be modified by having an attached voice message, and would be located in a directory defined by an e-mail address entered in the "To" address field 468 as illustrated in Figure 4F." (p. 12, lines 6-10)

#### **Claim Objections**

Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 2, "stored" should be <u>storing</u>. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 21-24,27,28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Safai (US # 6,167,469).

As to claim 21, Safai teaches a method for transferring customized image files stored in a memory of a digital camera (Col. 12, Lines 63-67) to an external device (Col. 8, Lines 61-67; {External device is the computer that the email which contains the images is checked.}) having an external device database (Figure 6), using a camera database having at least one customizable profile containing a set of image utilization fields (Col. 12, 63-67; Col. 13, Lines 1-6), comprising the steps of:

- (a) transferring a plurality of image files from the memory to the external device (Col. 6, Lines 5-12);
  - (b) accessing the set of image utilization fields (The computer must access the email address to send the images to the correct email address.});

Art Unit: 2622

- (c) modifying each transferred image file in accordance with the set of image utilization fields (Figure 5, {If a voice message is checked, the images are modified in that a voice message will be attached with them.});
- (d) storing the modified transferred image file in the external device (Figure 4F, To: "468"); and
- (e) updating the camera database and the external device database so that both the camera database and the external device database include the same profiles (Figure 4E, Send button "458"; {Sending the image profile makes the profile be stored in the external device and the camera database.}).

As to claim 22, Safai teaches the method according to claim 21 wherein the set of image utilization fields is stored on the external device (It is inherent that the words <a href="mailto:gwang@photoaccess.com">gwang@photoaccess.com</a> are stored in the external device.).

As to claim 23, Safai teaches the method according to claim 21 further including the step of editing the customizable profile in the external device (After sending, it is inherent that the message is no longer available.).

As to claim 24, Safai teaches the method according to claim 21 wherein the image utilization fields include a deletion field and further including the step of deleting the transferred image files from the memory in accordance with the deletion field after storage of such image in the external device (Figure 4F, Delete Pictures after Sending "474").

As to claim **27**, Safai teaches a computer program product comprising a computer storage medium storing a computer program having instructions therein for causing the external device to perform the method of claim 21 (Col. 8, Lines 15-27).

As to claim 28, the limitations of claim 27 can be found in claim 21 (a). Therefore, claim 27 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 21.

As to claim **32**, Safai, as modified by Kuba, teaches the method of claim 21 wherein the external device is a network service provider (Col. 6, Lines 5-19).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Safai (US # 6,175,003) in view of Roberts et al. (US # 6,496,222).

As to claim 25, Safai teaches a method according to claim 21. The claim differs from Safai in that it requires the image utilization files include an image editing preference application software field designating a software application stored in the external device and further

Art Unit: 2622

including the step of applying the designated user preferred application software to the modified transferred captured image.

In the same field of endeavor, Roberts et al. teaches an image utilization field which includes an image editing preference application software field designating a software application stored in the external device and further including the step of applying the designated user preferred application software to the modified transferred captured image (see Figure 14A, "APPLE V1", "IBM V2"; Col. 12, Lines 16-35). In light of the teaching of Roberts et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify include in the image utilization fields of Safai an image preference application software field. The modification of including a software application program field would allow the user to avoid erroneous image transfer due to incompatibility with the right software application program (see Roberts et al., Col. 12, Lines 37-42).

2. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Safai (US # 6,175,003) in view of Kuba et al. (US # 5,806,572).

As to claim 31, Safai teaches the method of claim 21. The claim differs from Safai in that it requires the set of utilization fields include a filename suffix or filename prefix appended to the camera filenames.

In the same field of endeavor, Kuba et al. teaches a filename suffix appended to the camera filename (see Figure 60, suffix "J6C"). In light of the teaching of Kuba et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a filename suffix appended to the names of the camera filenames of the image files of Safai. Such modifications would allow for

Art Unit: 2622

the user to easily specify compression type; consequently, allowing for faster transmission of images.

#### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. DANIELS whose telephone number is (571)272-7362. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,500

Page 9

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony J Daniels/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622

3/23/2011